

AMERIGAS PUBLIC IMPACT FORUM

Held July 18, 2004

SURVEY RESPONSES

75-80 Attendees (plus panel & resource folks); 29 Surveys received

1. How did you hear about the forum?

Newspaper	7
E-mail	4
Friend	7
Mail	5
NC	5
AmeriGas	2
PCAC	1
Flyer	6

2. How helpful was the forum to understanding the issues?

Very Helpful	16
Somewhat helpful	8
Not very helpful	3

Comments:

- The public demonstrated their concerns which were quite enlightening
- Appreciated the forum
- Long on problems, short on solutions
- Picked up more information on problem
- Informative, but many unanswered issues (2)
- Slanted – no community rep (2)
- Coast Guard input would have been welcomed and helpful

3. What ideas do you have on the issue?

- Make berth dual purpose
- Move the tanks
- Do not renew lease
- Terminate pipeline permit once Refinery/Amerigas can relocate tanks off Gaffey
- Business, Management with community leaders should resolve issue with safety the paramount concern
- BP and Valero need to go back to the drawing Board of Harbor Commissioners
- Don't issue any permits
- Industry needs to be dragged to table to deal with this
- Dangerous; we need mediation
- Negatively impacting AmeriGas will not get the community what they desire
- Get AmeriGas out of San Pedro

- Concerned re lack of response of the Port – acknowledgement of letters from the community is important
- Bigger problem than pipeline
- Too dangerous for its location, needs to be relocated away from the community –
- Regional Brown Act meetings
- More trucks and rails are a worse scenario than the underground pipeline

4. How would you like this issue resolved?

- | | |
|--|-------|
| • Renew lease for several years and continue piping | 5.5* |
| • Renew lease temporarily while community works out alternative solution | 10.5* |
| • End lease immediately | 11 |
| • Other | 5 |

* one person marked 2 responses so was recorded as 0.5 each place

Other:

- Move tanks
- Keep lease on month to month
- Make pier dual purpose
- Close down AmeriGas
- End immediately with temporary negotiated time limit to close down Amerigas
- Alternative solution responsibility belongs to the facility and the Port of LA (not community's responsibility)

5. Comments/feedback on the forum:

- Janice said it all (2)
- Both sides were well represented
- Good job (3)
- One sided, need some community participation on panels (2)
- Very informative
- Some excellent speakers giving pertinent information about the impending dangers with AmeriGas remaining
- I agree with Hahn – times have changed, we have to think along different lines, “outside the box” the Port needs to be working with the communities on emergency procedures, if there is a crisis, because all of these issues will not be resolved quickly
- Allow more time for public comments
- Want footprints
- Very disappointed in Fire Department's answers
- Main problem is tanks on Gaffey
- The product has to be handled. Underground is safer

- Do more for community
- All participants should face the audience – seeing the speaker is important

6. What other issues would you like the Neighborhood Councils to address?

- Regional planning
- Traffic (2)
- Emergencies
- Out of control port situation
- LAXT
- Port Safety
- Energy Island Re-location
- Housing on N. Gaffey
- Use of Rail Right of Way, N. Gaffey

More information available online:
Northwest San Pedro Neighborhood Council
www.NWSanPedro.org